UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,872	06/24/2003	Won-Bong Choi	030681-521	1325	
21839				EXAMINER	
BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			YUAN, DAH WEI D		
ALEXANDRIA	ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		
	•				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			·	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/601,872	CHOI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dah-Wei D. Yuan	1745	
Daria d f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period fo	• •	V 10 057 70 5VDIDE 0 M		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Desirons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), cause the application to become AE	CATION. reply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 A	pril 2007.		
2a)⊠				
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
-	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 13-30</u> is/are pending in the a	application		
7/23	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-30</u> is/are withdra			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,		
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,13-16</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	or ·		
,	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		hy the Examiner	
10)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			
Duianitus	day 25 H C C S 440			
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		2440(-) (4) (5)	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	i 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	to have been received		
	 Certified copies of the priority documen Certified copies of the priority documen 	•	anlication No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		· ·	
	application from the International Burea	•	received in this Hattorial Stage	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.	
	•	·		
Attach				
Attachmer	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	nformal Patent Application	

Art Unit: 1745

CARBON NANOTUBES FOR FUEL CELLS, METHOD FOR MANUFACTURING THE SAME, AND FUEL CELL USING THE SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 10/601,872

Art Unit: 1745

July 5, 2007

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on April 30, 2007 was received. The specification was amended.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on January 30, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102/103

3. Claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Dodelet et al. (US 6,887,451 B2) on claims 1-4,13-16 are maintained. The rejection is repeated below for convenience.

With respect to claims 1,3, Dodelet et al. teach carbon nanotubes which are grown over a carbon paper carrying nanosized catalyst. See Column 2, Line 34 to Column 3, Line 17. As disclosed in the instant specification, the use of hydrogen gas can convert metallic catalyst particles in oxidized form into reduced form, thereby increasing the activity of the catalyst particles and lead to the growth of branched carbon nanotubes. See page 6, lines 8-10. Dodelet et al. similarly teach the use of hydrogen and acetylene in the gaseous mixture to fabricate carbon nanotubes. Therefore, the formation of some branched carbon nanotubes in the final MWCNTs would have been essentially certain.

Art Unit: 1745

Furthermore, Dodelet does not specifically disclose the loading of the catalyst on the nanotubes. However, it is the position of the examiner that such properties of said material are inherent, given that the nanotubes disclosed by Dodelet et al. and the present application having similar chemistry and preparation procedure. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. Inherency is not established by probabilities or possibilities. In re Robertson, 49 USPQ2d 1949 (1999). Alternatively, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adjust the catalytic concentrations of the nitrate salts ranging from 0.15 to 1.0 M in order to provide desirable concentration of the nano-sized catalyst between 0.3-5 mg/cm².

In addition, it is the position of the examiner that disclosure provides no evidence of criticality with regard to the concentration of the catalyst particles.

With respect to claim 2, Dodelet et al. teach the use of catalysts including Fe, Co and Ni, which can serve as catalysts for carbon nanotube growth and fuel cells. See Column 3, Lines 23-29; Column 4, Lines 31-36.

With respect to claim 4, it is the position of the examiner that such properties of said material are inherent, given that the nanotubes disclosed by Dodelet et al. and the present application are prepared by the same procedure, i.e., chemical vapor deposition.

With respect to claims 13-16, Dodelet et al. teach the use of nanotubes as the electrodes for fuel cells. See Column 1, Lines 9-17.

Art Unit: 1745

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on April 30, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are

Applicants discuss in their Declaration that the branched carbon nanotubes are formed by introducing hydrogen gas into the reaction chamber for a short period of time during synthesis.

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

In the instant specification, Applicants first disclose the formation of nanotubes without the addition of hydrogen gas in the reactor. See Examples 1 and 2. In a separate example, the introduction of hydrogen into the reactor for 20 minutes results in the formation of branched carbon nanotubes. However, there is no evidence to indicate that prolonged exposure to the hydrogen, as taught by Dodelet, would not lead to the formation of branched carbon nanotubes. Applicants are encouraged to submit additional information regarding the criticality of the length of time in the production of the so-called "branched nanotubes".

It is also noted that the alleged "branched nanotubes" (20) appears to reattach to the "main trunk portion" of the nanotubes in the revised Figure 4. This observation is inconsistent with the definition, i.e., "splintered portion", used to describe the term and the schematics shown in Figure 6(d). Additional visual evidence would be helpful to support and substantiate the prevalent formation of branched carbon nanotubes by using the claimed synthesis technique.

Art Unit: 1745

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1745

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan July 5, 2007

> DAH-WEIYUAN PRIMARY EXAMINER